



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,107	02/03/2005	Yutaka Inoue	1254-0268PUS1	8412
2252	7590	08/06/2009	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			A. MINH D	
PO BOX 747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			2821	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/06/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/523,107	INOUE ET AL.
	Examiner MINH D. A.	Art Unit 2821

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MINH D. A. (3) James C. Lorsom
 (2) Douglas Ovens. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 87, 88, 94, 98.


Identification of prior art discussed: Shin (US6,661,181, Honbo(US 6,087,757) and Lin (US 6,570,344).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 Douglas K. Ovens SPE 11/2821

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: James C. Lorson discussed in detail the features of independent claims and also distinguish feature of claims over Shin, Honbo and Lin, Particulary that, Shin and Hobo and Lin do not teach "the inverter circuits is positioned in close proximity to one end of the fluorescent tube and the length of a connection between the one inverter circuit and the one end of the flourescent tube being substantially shorter than the distance between the one inverter ciruct. Therefore, the rejection mailed on 3/10/09 will be withdrawn. .